

When questioned by reporters March 8, Andrews first declined comment on Bertrand, then said "he had no recollection of the last time he talked by telephone with Bertrand". Unless there was such a conversation after Andrews' appearance before the Commission, this position is untenable. Under questioning by Liebeler, Andrews had then persisted in his recollection of this phone call despite the FBI report he had said otherwise and Liebeler's best efforts to get him to deny there had been such a conversation.

At one point in his colloquy with the P press, Andrews said he did not know Oswald, only to later concede Oswald had been in his office;

Following Shaw's arrest Andrews had been phoned by the New York "Post" and asked if Bertrand and ~~Ray~~ Shaw are the same man. "Not in my book they ain't," he said. "I don't know if he is and I don't know if he isn't. I couldn't say for sure. I don't know. They're two separate people as far as I'm concerned".

Andrews

The ABC reporter in New Orleans asked Andrews Thursday night, March 16, "is your memory getting any better", indicating his knowledge or belief that the indictment hinged ~~about~~ around Andrews' alleged inability to recall what he had testified to before the Warren Commission.

Of Andrews' appearance before the grand jury March 9, George Lardner said, "A moon-face lawyer who first told the Warren Commission about 'Clay Bertrand' was called before the ~~San~~ New Orleans parish grand jury today, claiming as he went that he had lost all interest in tracking down the mystery men. (To) reporters asking whether he could identify Shaw as Bertrand ~~(Andrews said)~~ ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ "I should care less", Andrews replied. Oswald he also claimed is now 'just a vague memory'."

from New Orleans

On March 13, Carl J. Pappalleck wrote/in the New York "Post" , Andrews claims that Bertrand is not Shws Shaw". Six days later William Federici, in the same paper, wrote from New Orleans that "Before the rgand jury Andrews was asked if he could identify Bertrrend and Shew as being the same. Although his answer is still a secret record, he has given contradictory statements to newsmen outside the grand jury room".

Without indicating his
sources, George Lardner, in the
Washington "Post" of March 17,
wrote:

"On the stand, however,
Andrews has repeatedly refused
to identify Shaw as 'Bertrand'.
He has also refused a bid by
Garrison's officers to prod his
memory with either hypnosis,
sodium pentathol or a lie
detector test".

United Press, 3/17

After his appearance before the grand jury Andrews was interviewed on WDSU-TV. He said he did not know why he had been indicted but he had expected it. The was thd after his reappearance before the grand jury on March 16. It was "intuition" as well as almost everyone he knew, Andrews said, that told him. So certain had be he been that he bet at 8-5 odds on his indictment.